

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/610,933	HOGAN, JOSH	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kim-Kwok CHU	2627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kim-Kwok CHU. (3) N/A.

(2) Mr. William J. Benman. (4) N/A.

Date of Interview: 6/29/2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 6/29/2007  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

In the Remarks filed on 6/18/2007, the interview on 5/30/2007 referred by Applicant were informal telephone discussions with Attorney Mr. Benman on the rejected claims. The Examiner suggested several alternative features which are not disclosed in prior art of Shoji (U.S. Patent 6,157,609).

Examiner: Kim Chun

(571) 272-7585

6/28/2007